INTERNATIONAL STANDARD BIBLE ENCYCLOPAEDIA

JAMES ORR, M.A., D.D., GENERAL EDITOR

JOHN L. NUELSEN, D.D., LL.D. EDGAR Y. MULLINS, D.D., LL.D. ASSISTANT EDITORS

MORRIS O. EVANS, D.D., Ph.D., Managing Editor

VOLUME II CLEMENT—HERESH

CHICAGO
THE HOWARD-SEVERANCE COMPANY
1915

ANDOVER-HARVARD THEOLOGICAL LIBRARY

OCT 1 0 1916

ANDOVER
THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY

a 66,965

COPYRIGHT, 1915, BY
THE HOWARD-SEVERANCE COMPANY
All Rights of Translation and
Reproduction Reserved

International Copyright Secured

The Publishers supply this Encyclopaedia only through authorized sales-agents. Booksellers cannot obtain it.

Printed by the Lakeside Press
Types cast and set by the University of Chicago Press
Chicago, Illinois, U.S.A.

HEAVENS, NEW (AND EARTH, NEW):

Eschatological Idea

Eschatological Idea
 Earliest Conceptions: Cosmic vs. National Type
 Different from Mythological Theory
 Antiquity of Cosmical Conception
 The Cosmical Dependent on the Ethico-Religious
 The End Correspondent to the Beginning
 The Cosmical Heavens: He 12 26-29
 Palingenesis: Mt 19 28
 A Purified Universe

The formal conception of new heavens and a new earth occurs in Isa 65 17; 66 22; 2 Pet 3 13; Rev 21 1 (where "heaven," singular).

The idea in substance is also found in 1. Rscha-Isa **51** 16; Mt **19** 28; 2 Cor **5** 17; He **12** 26–28. In each case the refertological Idea ence is eschatological, indeed the adj.

"new" seems to have acquired in this and other connections a semi-technical eschatological sense. It must be remembered that the OT has no single word for "universe," and that the phrase "heaven and earth" serves to supply the deficiency. The promise of a new heavens and a new earth is therefore equivalent to a promise of world renewal.

It is a debated question how old in the history of revelation this promise is. Isaiah is the prophet with whom the idea first occurs in

explicit form, and that in passages which many critics would assign to the 2. Earliest National

Type post-exilic period (the so-called Trito-Isaiah). In general, until recently, the trend of criticism has been to represent the universalistic-cosmic type of eschatology as development of the solution of oped out of the particularistic-national type by a gradual process of widening of the horizon of prophecy, a view which would put the emergence of the former at a comparatively late date. More recently, however, Gressmann (Der Ursprung der israelitisch-jüdischen Eschatologie, 1905) and others have endeavored to show that often even prophecies belonging to the latter type embody material and employ means of expression which presuppose acquaintance with the idea of a world-catastrophe at the end. On this view the world-eschatology would have, from ancient times, existed alongside of the more narrowly confined outlook, and would be even older than the latter. These writers further assume that the cosmic eschatology was not indigenous among the Hebrews, but of oriental (Bab) origin, a theory which they apply not only to the more developed system of the later apocalyptic writings, but also to its preformations in the OT. The cosmic eschatology is not believed to have been the distinctive property of the great ethical prophets, but rather a commonly current mythological belief

to which the prophets refer without

3. Different formally endorsing it. Its central
from Myth- thought is said to have been the belief that the end of the world-process must ological Theory correspond to the beginning, that con-

sequently the original condition of things, when heaven and earth were new, must repeat itself at some future point, and the state of paradise with its concomitants return, a belief supposed to have rested on certain astronomical observations.

While this theory in the form presented is unproven and unacceptable, it deserves credit for having focused attention on certain

4. Antiquity phenomena in the OT which clearly of Cosmical show that Messianic prophecy, and Conception particularly the world-embracing scope which it assumes in some predictions,

is far older than modern criticism had been willing. to concede. The OT from the beginning has an eschatology and puts the eschatological promise on the broadest racial basis (Gen 3). It does not first ascend from Israel to the new humanity, but

at the very outset takes its point of departure in the race and from this descends to the election of Israel, always keeping the universalistic goal in clear view. Also in the earliest accounts, already elements of a cosmical universalism find their place side by side with those of a racial kind, as when Nature is represented as sharing in the consequences of the fall of man.

As regards the antiquity of the universalistic and cosmical eschatology, therefore, the conclusions of these writers may be registered as a

5. The Cos-gain, while on the two other points of mical De-pendent on the pagan origin and the unethical character of the expectation involved, the Ethico-dissent from them should be expressed. According to the OT, the whole idea of world-renewal is of strictly super-Religious

natural origin, and in it the cosmical follows the ethical hope. The cosmical eschatology is simply ethical hope. the correlate of the fundamental Bib. principle that the issues of the world-process depend on the ethico-religious developments in the history of man (cf 2 Pet 3 13).

But the end correspondent to the beginning is likewise a true Scriptural principle, which the theory in question has helped to reemphasize,

6. The End although there is this difference, that Correspond-Scripture does not look forward to a ent to the repetition of the same process, but to a restoration of the primeval harmony Beginning on a higher plane such as precludes all further disturbance. In the passages above cited, there are clear reminiscences of the account of creation (Isa 51 16, "that I may plant the heavens, and lay the foundations of the earth"; 65 17, "I create new heavens and a new earth"; 2 Pet 3 13 compared with vs 4-6; Rev 21 1 compared with the imagery of paradies throughout the charter's the imagery of paradise throughout the chapter). Besides this, where the thought of the renewal of earth is met with in older prophecy, this is depicted in colors of the state of paradise (Isa 11 6-9; Hos 2 18-21). The "regeneration" (palingenesia) of Mt 19 28 also points back to the first genesis of the world. The 'inhabited earth to come' (oikouménē méllousa) of He 2 5 occurs at the opening of a constitution of the country which the grount of Con 1-2 text throughout which the account of Gen 1-3

In the combination "new heavens and a new earth," the term "heavens" must therefore be taken in the sense imposed upon it by the story of creation, where "heavens" 7. The

evidently stood before the writer's mind.

designates not the celestial habitation Cosmical Heavens: of God, but the cosmical heavens, the He 12: region of the supernal waters, sun moon and stars. The Bible nowhere suggests that there is anything abnor-26-29

mal or requiring renewal in God's dwelling-place (He 9 23 is of a different import). In Rev 21, where "the new heaven and the new earth" appear, it is at the same time stated that the new Jerus comes down from God out of heaven (cf vs 1.2.10). In He 12 26-28 also the implication is that only the lower heavens are subject to renewal. The "shaking" that accompanies the new covenant and corresponds to the shaking of the law-giving at Sinai, is a shaking of "not the earth only, but also the heaven." This shaking, in its reference to heaven as well as to earth, signifies a removal of the things shaken. But from the things thus shaken and removed (including heaven), the writer distinguishes "those things which are not shaken," which are destined to remain, and these are identified with the kingdom of God. The kingdom of God, however, according to the general trend of the teaching of the epistle, has its center in the heavenly world. The words "that have been made," in ver 27, do not assign their created character as the reason why



heaven and earth can be shaken, an exegesis which would involve us in the difficulty that among that which remains there is something uncreated besides God; the true construction and correct paraphrase are: "as of things that were made with the thought in the mind of God that those things which cannot be shaken may remain," i.e. already at creation God contemplated an unchangeable universe as the ultimate, higher state of things.

In Mt 19 28 the term palingenesia marks the world-renewing as the renewal of an abnormal state of things. The Scripture teaching,

therefore, is that around the center of 8. Palin-God's heaven, which is not subject genesis: Mt 19:28 to deterioration or renewal, a new

cosmical heaven and a new earth will be established to be the dwelling-place of the es-chatological humanity. The light in which the promise thus appears reminds us that the renewed kosmos, earth as well as cosmical heavens, is destined to play a permanent (not merely provisional, on the principle of chiliasm) part in the future life of the people of God. This is in entire harmony with the prevailing Bib. representation, not only in the OT but likewise in the NT (cf Mt 5; He 2 5), although in the Fourth Gospel and in the Pauline Epp. the emphasis is to such an extent thrown on the heaven-centered character of the future life that the rôle to be played in it by the renewed earth recedes into the background. Rev, on the other hand, recognizes this element in its imagery of "the new Jerus" coming down from God out of heaven upon earth.

That the new heavens and the new earth are represented as the result of a "creation" does not

necessarily involve a production ex nihilo. The terms employed in 2 Pet 9. A Puri-3 6-13 seem rather to imply that the fied Unirenewal will out of the old produce verse a purified universe, whence also the

catastrophe is compared to that of the Deluge. then the old world perished by water and the present world arose out of the flood, so in the end-crisis "the heavens shall be dissolved by fire and the elements melt with fervent heat," to give rise to the new heaven and the new earth in which righteousness dwells. The term palingenesia (Mt 19 28) points to renewal, not to creation de novo. The Talm also teaches that the world will pass through a process of purification, although at the same time it seems to break up the continuity between this and the coming world by the phantastic assumption that the new heavens and the new earth of Isa 65 17 were created at the close of the Hexemeron of Gen 1. This was inferred from the occurrence of the article in Isa 66 22, "the new heavens and the new GEERHARDUS VOS earth.

HEAVY, hev'i, HEAVINESS, hev'i-nes (ココラ, kābhēdh, הֹאֶלֶה, de'āghāh; λύπη, lúpē)

Heavy (heave, to lift) is used lit. with respect to material things, as the tr of $k\bar{o}bh\bar{e}dh$, "heaviness" (Prov 27 3, "a stone is heavy"); of 1. Literal $k\bar{o}bh\bar{e}dh$, "to be weighty" (1 S 4 18; 2 S 14 26; Lam 3 7); of 'āmaṣ, "to load" (Isa 46 1 AV; cf Mt 26 43; Mk 14 40; Lk 9 32, "Their eyes were heavy"); baréomai, "to be weighted down" weighed down.

It is used (1) for what is hard to bear, oppressive, 1t is used (1) for what is hard to bear, oppressive, $k\bar{a}bh\bar{e}dh$ (Ex 18 18; Nu 11 14; 1 S 5 6.11; Ps 38 4; Isa 24 20); $m\bar{e}t\bar{a}h$, a "yoke" (Isa 24 20); $m\bar{e}t\bar{a}h$, a "yoke" (Isa 58 6, RV "bands of the yoke"); $k\bar{a}-t\bar{e}t$ (1 K 14 6, "heavy tidings"); barus, "heavy" (Mt 23 4); (2) for sad, sorrowful (weighed down), mar, "bitter" (Prov 31 6, RV "bitter"); ra, "evil" (Prov 25 20); $ad\bar{e}mon\hat{e}\bar{o}$, lit. "to be sated,"

"wearied," then, "to be very heavy," "dejected" (Mt 26 37, of Our Lord in Gethsemane, "[he] began to be sorrowful and very heavy," RV "sore troubled"); "adēmonein denotes a kind of stupefaction and bewilderment, the intellectual powers faction and bewilderment, the intellectual powers reeling and staggering under the pressure of the ideas presented to them" (Mason, The Conditions of Our Lord's Life on Earth); cf Mk 14 33; (3) morose, sulky, as well as sad, sar, "sullen," "sour," "angry" (1 K 20 43; 21 4, "heavy and displeased"); (4) dull, kābhēdh (Isa 6 10, "make their ears heavy"; 59 1, "neither [is] his ear heavy"); (5) "tired" seems to be the meaning in Ex 17 12, "Moses' hands were heavy" (kābhēdh); cf Mt 26 43 and sa shove 43 and ||s above.

Heavily is the tr of k-bhēdhuth, "heaviness" (Ex 14 25), meaning "with difficulty"; of kādhar, "to be black," "to be a mourner" (Ps 35 14 AV, RV "I bowed down mourning"); of kābhēdh (Isa 47 6).

bowed down mourning"); of kābhādh (Isa 47 6).

Heaviness has always the sense of anxiety, sorrow, grief, etc; d"āghāh, "fear," "dread," "anxious care" (Prov 12 25, "Heaviness in the heart of a man maketh it stoop," RVm "or care"); kēhāh, "to be feeble," "weak" (Isa 61 3, "the spirit of heaviness"); pānām, "face," "aspect" (Job 9 27 AV, "I will leave off my heaviness," RV "[sad] countenance"; cf 2 Esd 5 16; Wisd 17 4; Ecclus 25 23); ta'ānāyāh, from 'ānāh, "to groan," "to sigh" (Isa 29 2, RV "mourning and lamentation"); tūghāh, "sadness," "sorrow" (Ps 119 28; Prov 10 1; 14 13); ta'ānāth, "affliction of one's self," "fasting" (Ezr 9 5, RV "humiliation," m "fasting"); katēpheia, "dejection," "sorrow" (lit. "of the eyes") (Jas 49," your joy [turned] to heaviness"); lupē, "grief" (Rom 9 2, RV "great sorrow"; 2 Cor 2 1, RV "sorrow"); lupéomai (1 Pet 1 6, RV "put to grief"); for nūsh, "to be sick," "feeble" (Ps 69 20, RVm "sore sick"), and adēmoneō (Phil 2 26 RV "sore troubled"), AV has "full of heaviness." "Heaviness," in the sense of sorrow, sadness, occurs in 2 Esd 10 7. sense of sorrow, sadness, occurs in 2 Esd 10 7. 8.24; Tob 2 5; lupē (Ecclus 22 4, RV "grief"; 30 21, "Give not thy soul to heaviness," RV "sorrow"; 1 Macc 6 4); lupēō (Ecclus 30 9, RV "will grieve thee"; pénthos (1 Macc 3 51, etc).

RV has "heavier work" for "more work" (Ex 5 9); "heavy upon men" for "common among men" (Eccl 6 1); for "were heavy loaden" (Isa 46 1), "are made a load"; for "the burden thereof is heavy" (Isa 30 27), "in thick rising smoke."

W. L. WALKER HEBER, he'ber ("The hebber, "associate" or, possibly, "enchanter"; "Εβερ, Eber): A name occurring several times in the OT as the name of an individual or of a clan.

(1) A member of the tribe of Asher and son of Beraiah (Gen 46 17; Nu 26 45; 1 Ch 7 31 f).

(2) A Kenite, husband of Jael, who deceptively slew Sisera, captain of the army of Jabin, a Canaanite king (Jgs 4 17; 5 24). He had separated himself from the main body of the Kenites, which accounts for his tent being near Kedesh, the place of Sisera's disastrous battle (Jgs 4 11).

(3) Head of a clan of Judah, and son of Mered

by his Jewish, as distinguished from an Egyp, wife.

He was father, or founder, of Soco (1 Ch 4 18).

(4) A Benjamite, or clan or family of Elpaal belonging to Benjamin (1 Ch 8 17).

(5) Heber, of Our Lord's genealogy (Lk 3 35 AV), better, Eber.

So, the name "Eber," עָבָר, 'ēbher, in 1 Ch 5 13; 8 22, is not to be confused with Heber, אָדֶּר, hebber, as in the foregoing passages.

EDWARD BAGBY POLLARD
HEBERITES, hē'bēr-īts (הַתְּבָרָה, ha-hebhrī):
Descendants of Heber, a prominent clan of Asher, (Nu 26 45). Supposed by some to be connected with the Habiri of the Am Tab.